



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/667,612 | 09/22/2000 | G. Victor Guyan | 07752.0021 | 1862 |

28164 7590 08/19/2003

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P O BOX 10395
CHICAGO, IL 60610

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

BASHORE, ALAIN L

[REDACTED] ART UNIT [REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

3624

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/667,612 | GUYAN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alain L. Bashore | 3624 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-17,19-31 and 33-55 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-17,19-31 and 33-55 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-17, 19-23, 25-31, 33-37, and 39-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borghesi et al in view of McLauchlin et al.

Borghesi et al discloses a method, system, and medium for evaluating line item data, including displaying at least one line item (e.g., Figures 6, 7, 9-12; column 2, line 50 et seq; column 3, line 5 et seq; column 6, line 15 et seq; column 7, line 40 et seq; column 8, line 50 et seq; column 19, line 6 et seq), selection of at least one line item from a claim handler (e.g., Figures 6, 7, 8E, 8G, 9-12; column 7, line 54 et seq; column 9, line 8 et seq; column 1 I, line 65 et seq; column 12, line 37 et seq; column 14, line 35 et seq; column 17, line 30 et seq; column 19, line 6 et seq; column 19, line 35 et seq), and receiving payment execution authorization (e.g., column 15, line 1 et seq), by direct payment (e.g., column 16, line 50 et seq), vendor transfer (e.g., total loss determination) (e.g., Figure 21; column 10, line 5 et seq; column 17, line 15 et seq; column 18, line 41 et seq; column 19, line 47 et seq}, preauthorized payment (e.g., Figure 16; column 15,

line 64 et seq; column 16, line 43 et seq), processor and memory (e.g., 26, 36) (Figure 2).

Borghesi et al also discloses receiving a direct payment amount (e.g., check) (e.g., column 16, line 50 et seq; column 18, line 52 et seq), back office communication (e.g., inherently disclosed), authorizing vendor transfer of authorized vendor database (e.g., Figures 8A-8L; column 10, line 57 et seq), upgrade availability, as broadly recited (e.g., column 10, line 5 et seq; column 14, line 23 et seq; column 18, line 33 et seq), deduction verification (e.g., column 18, line 1 et seq) line item payment authorization of receiving selection of at least one line item and form of payment, and authorizing a transaction (e.g., column 18, line 1 et seq), receiving check payment and account information (inherently disclosed) (e.g., column 16, line 50 et seq; column 18, line 52 et seq), threshold amount (e.g., Figure 7; column 10, line 5 et seq; column 10, line 29 et seq), and date range (e.g., particular accident causing damage).

Borghesi et al teaches accessing various databases of authorized vendors, displaying a list of authorized vendors that correspond with at least one line item, and accessing vendor data associated with the list of authorized vendors (col 12, lines 44-58). Each database inherently has a vendor and vendor data (including names of the vendors), and each vendor inherently is "authorized" (since it is in the computer). Borghesi et al also teaches authorizing a line item payment including selecting at least

one line item, receiving the selection of a form of payment and authorizing the transaction.

Regarding claims 2-6, 8 and 51, these claims depend from a claim that recites alternative elements (claim 50), where claims 2-6, 8, and 51 further define each one of the alternative elements. Since there is shown at least one group element, the claim recitation is considered met by the reference, regardless of recitations that further define other alternative elements.

Since the vendors are listed that is exclusive in nature, it is therefore inherent that they are "preferred".

Borghesi et al does not explicitly disclose:

receiving a selection of at least one vendor from the list of authorized vendors; and
displaying a list of excluded vendors.

McLauchlin et al discloses vendors as authorized vendors (p 10, para 0142) and lists of excluded vendors (p 11, para 0163).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include receiving a selection of at least one vendor from the list of authorized vendors to

Borghesi et al because McLauchlin et al teaches that preference types (non-profit, small, 8(a) etc...) of vendors may be utilized in the procurement determination process (p 10, para 0142).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include displaying a list of excluded vendors to Borghesi et al because McLauchlin et al teaches that certain types of vendors are excluded (debarred) from the procurement determination process (p 11, para 0163).

3. Claims 10, 24, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borghesi et al in view of McLauchlin et al as applied to claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-23, 25-31, 33-37, and 39-55 above, and further in view of DiRienzo et al.

Borghesi et al do not disclose electronic fund transfer.

DiRienzo et al discloses a method, system, and medium for evaluating line item data, including payment by check and electronic funds transfer (e.g., column 22, line 12 et seq). Electronic funds transfer provides a well-known equivalent means of settling claims (e.g., column 22, line 16 et seq).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide for claim adjudication and settlement via electronic funds transfer,

in addition to payment by check, as taught by DiRienzo et al, on the method, system, and medium of Borghesi et al for the purposes of facilitating a claim settlement (e.g., to expedite settlement).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6-19-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The reference to Borghesi discloses insurance calims (col 1, lines 24-28).

McLaughin et al discloses what is not disclosed to Borghesi.

Both Borghesi and McLaughin et al are concerned with valuation of goods and services to be procured.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3624

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 703-308-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:00 am to 4:30 pm (Monday thru Thursday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-1113.


Alain L. Bashore


DR. GEOFFREY R. AKERS, P.E.
PRIMARY EXAMINER